

Number	Plan	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments	
1	Caledonian Street/Stuart Place				1	No direct comments about proposal, would like to see drop off points for South Twerton Infants School.	Noted.
2	Ayr Street		1			Parking around the corner of the street does cause problems.	Noted.
3	Ayr Street		1			Fully support proposal.	Noted.
4	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, object to line on south side as feel road is wide enough at that point. Suggest two other areas for restrictions in the future.	Noted. Restrictions modified to take comments into account regarding the south side of the road. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
5	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, feels the lining does not take into account the narrowest part of the road and would like some additional restrictions.	Noted. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
6	Beechen Cliff Road		1			Support lines on both sides, feels the south side one needs to be extended .	The restriction on the south side is not supported by all residents and therefore assessed to not be necessary.
7	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support lines on north side, feels the south side one needs to be further up where the road is narrower.	The restriction on the south side is not supported by all residents and therefore assessed to not be necessary.
8	Beechen Cliff Road		1			Support lines on both sides, feels the biggest concern is the bottle neck from Cliff Lodge to Croftside. Many also obstruct the pavement.	The restriction on the south side is not supported by all residents and therefore assessed to not be necessary.

9	Beechen Cliff Road		1			Support lines on both sides, feels the south side one needs to be extended . Continue line to outside Croftside side of the road just below their driveway, this is a narrow section and frequently vehicles mount the pavement.	The restriction on the south side is not supported by all residents and therefore assessed to not be necessary.
10	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, object to line on south side as feel road is wide enough at that point. Suggest two other areas for restrictions in the future.	Noted. Restrictions modified to take comments into account regarding the south side of the road. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
11	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, object to line on south side as feel road is wide enough at that point. Suggest two other areas for restrictions in the future.	Noted. Restrictions modified to take comments into account regarding the south side of the road. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
12	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, object to line on south side as feel road is wide enough at that point. Suggest two other areas for restrictions in the future.	Noted. Restrictions modified to take comments into account regarding the south side of the road. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
13	Beechen Cliff Road			1		Support line on north side, object to line on south side as feel road is wide enough at that point. Suggest two other areas for restrictions in the future.	Noted. Restrictions modified to take comments into account regarding the south side of the road. Additional restriction request will be placed on the forward plan.
14	Bradford Road/Greendown Place		1			Support changes, will help visibility at junction and increase parking for residents on Saturday.	Noted.
15	Bradford Road/Greendown Place		1			Support changes.	Noted.

16	Bradford Road/Greendown Place		1			support changes will improve junction.	Noted.
17	Bradford Road/Greendown Place		1			Fully support proposal.	Noted.
18	Bruton Avenue		1			Support proposal - would like resident parking considered.	Noted.
19	Bruton Avenue		1			support proposal - would like additional lines considered.	Noted.
20	Claremont Buildings		1			Support proposal, this vital access area needs protecting	Noted.
21	Claremont Buildings		1			Proposal makes sense	Noted.
22	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Would like to keep the parking de-restricted on the basis that the knock-on effect will be to severely restrict and kill off the viability of the Café at Alice Park. Since the building of the bypass the traffic flow on Gloucester Road has been reduced to a dribble. Hopes that the proposed restrictions will be dropped or completely amend so that Park users can legitimately park for at least three hours.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
23	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Oppose these plans for parking, feel the parking has a 'slowing down' effect and cannot recall one single accident on this stretch of the Gloucester Road.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
24	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Would mean we have to park miles away from the park, very difficult with 2 children, buggy, bicycle and picnic. These parking restrictions will put of majority of families from coming.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

25	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				During the time have been visiting park have seen the café grow into a thriving community hub which has enriched the park and brought people together. Concerned that these proposals would strangle the business and leave the community bereft of this little gem.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
26	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		Has consulted with local residents and amended proposal so that it would allow for sensible parking and full use of the wonderful amenity that Alice Park provides to all residents and visitors.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
27	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		Fully support proposal, however, would suggest the restriction is extended on the east side of the carriageway between Woodlands Park and Elm Grove.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
28	Alice Park Gloucester Road		1			Fully in support, these plans should resolve issues.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
29	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Proposal will make it almost impossible for families to make use of Alice Park given the very small amount of on-site parking available.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
30	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Strongly object, would be a great shame to restrict accessibility to one of our city's Great parks. I'm sure the restrictions would have significant impact and it would be a massive loss for the whole community.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
31	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		I think the dyl lines should be limited to the absolute minimum.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

32	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Preventing parents parking will meant they don't come to the park anymore. This will lead to an inevitable decline in business, and we will ultimately have to close the business.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
33	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		Proposal is heavy handed and draconian. Parking can get very dangerous, especially with visibility around the zebra crossing. Some parking acts as traffic calming. It would make sense to allow parking only on ones side of the road.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
34	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Shocked and disappointed about parking restrictions. I can see it can be busy at the park and parking along both sides means cars have to slow down, but cars should slow down as there are many children about.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
35	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				formal complaint. Proposals would mean people would be unable to visit the Park or enjoy the Café.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
36	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				It seems illogical to add parking restrictions to this section of road, where the Council should be encouraging residents to make more use of our Greenfield areas.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
37	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I feel the proposals would deter people from coming to the park.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
38	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I believe the proposed lines are heavy handed and unnecessary. The traffic along this road is relatively light.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

39	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				This will impact badly on the business at the café, I would request that you reconsider this proposal.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
40	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				We need this parking facility, without this on road parking we would probably not be able to use the park, I do not see the need for double yellow lines in this area.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
41	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I object and am surprised that restrictions are necessary. I am a resident on Gloucester Road and people often park outside our house, but this is not really a problem. To put people off the park would be a great shame.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
42	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				It is vital that there is parking available, I urge you to reconsider.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
43	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Lots of negatives, the parking is ot a safety problem. Over the last few years the park is full of life and a centre of the community.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
44	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I strongly ask that you do not place parking restrictions around the alice park roads.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
45	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Yellow lines around the park will encourage parking on other roads where they are narrower and have to move young children along the road will be more dangerous.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
46	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Not sure why the lines are planned. The lines would be a terrible shame and stop use of the park.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

47	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Please rethink and abandon your plans, the restrictions would affect our park and its' café so badly.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
48	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I am very disappointed that your attempt to resolve one problem will severely impact access to the one park in Bath which has shown real flair and imagination.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
49	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				very concerned about these proposals.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
50	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				the proposal is awful. It is too dangerous to walk with young children and bikes.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
51	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				The restrictions would be a great shame as this park has many facilities.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
52	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Parents with young children and buggies really do need to be near the crossing, it's fast road and deceptive for children.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
53	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Ridiculous proposal, I come up the road several times a day and the parking is not a problem, the small car park gets full and there would be no where to park. It would kill the park which is a real asset and encourage cars into smaller roads.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

54	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Will prevent easy access to Park, this is Bath's first and foremost park for kids to cycle in, the car park is tiny.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
55	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Please don't restrict the parking.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
56	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Lines would limit access.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
57	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Restrictions would make park far less accessible. The cycle path is a fantastic place to teach your child road safety.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
58	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Concerned that not visit park or have to park further away and deal with young excited kids on bikes getting to the park on the pavement. Suggest leave parking as it is and install a pelican crossing to control traffic.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
59	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Not the right thing to do.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
60	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Please consider other less drastic proposals.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
61	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Gloucester road is no longer the main thoroughfare up to the motorway so it really doesn't matter if it is used for parking, it is wide even with parked cars.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

62	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Impact on park	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
63	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Proposal will make it difficult and less safe for parents.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
64	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Vital to be able to park.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
65	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Not possible to park too far way with young children, a very precious facility.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
66	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Park is crucial to the community, many users do have to use car the car park is too small to accommodate even a fraction of the visitors.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
67	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				This proposal will only have an adverse effect on the residents of all the side roads being used for parking.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
68	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Loss of parking on Gloucester Road would seriously impede access to the park facilities.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
69	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				The road is wide enough for the current parking.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

70	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I use the road on a daily basis and cannot understand why the yellow lines are being considered. Will impact residents on other residential streets close by.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
71	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Please think again, restrictions would deter parents of young children from using facilities.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
72	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Negative impact on park and events.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
73	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Cannot see any need for the restrictions.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
74	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I understand that at times there are many cars parked, could a compromise be reached, maybe yellow lines one side only?	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
75	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I would have to reconsider using park if I have to park further away as it would be more dangerous for my children.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
76	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		While I understand the need to do something about parking to put dyl down both sides is preposterous.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
77	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				See no benefit. The bypass relieved traffic congestion many years ago, I occasionally drive up this road even when cars are parked on both sides I have never found myself held up.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

78	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				object to restrictions, will seriously affect our ability to use the wonderful facilities.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
79	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		The proposals would mean more cars park opposite some drives, would like to see more lines outside driveways and further up the road. Also why not talk to the owners of the former Harvester to use there car park?	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
80	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				If I can't park then I will not use the park nearly as much.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
81	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		As residents of Woodlands Park accept plan to place dyl as parking intrusion on private dwellings is considerable. However the plan will shift the parking problem further up, we need keep clear lines across entrances to dwellings to alleviate problems.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
82	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Tennis courts used by tennis group. If parking is too difficult will have to consider another venue.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
83	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Live walking distance but sometimes with bay and toddler bikes and picnic have to use car. Have never felt that on-street parking around park has caused any obstruction.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
84	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Residents at Alice Park would struggle to park. Often the car park is full and there would be little alternative for residents or visitors	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

85	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I can see no real need to place restrictions in this area. Whilst I am sure residents do not like cars parking near their homes these are all houses with private driveways set well back from the road . They do not need the road to be free from vehicles.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
86	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				These measures will seriously restrict the community from using the park, café and pre-school.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
87	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Understand need to reduce congestion and discourage driving the proposal will not achieve either.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
88	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Negative impact on park and events.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
89	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Negative impact on park and events.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
90	Alice Park Gloucester Road			1		Would cause parking opposite driveways. The driveways need to be kept clear. Propose alternative positioning of lines/	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
91	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I have never had a problem driving along Gloucester Road.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

92	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				think it would make area unsafe, suggest 20 mph zone.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
93	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				use the nursery and have never notices that the parking is causing a problem.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
94	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				On paper the idea may be good but it will be counterproductive. If the restrictions go ahead it will force the same cars to park further along and make matters worse than better.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
95	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				I appreciate there may be obstruction issues but a few signs alerting drivers to the fact would make things safer. Suggest use of unused building area as car park.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
96	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				changes would create huge safety concerns. Nothing wrong with current markings.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
97	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				please leave some parking	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
98	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Seems totally unnecessary, never a problem of passing cars.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
99	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				needs more parking not less	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.

100	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Negative impact on park and events.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
101	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				parking creates an element of traffic calming. Overall proposal would be damaging to park and safety.	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
102	Alice Park Gloucester Road	1				Parking acts as traffic calming, council should be encouraging park use .	Due to the high level of objection the proposal has been withdrawn and a new proposal will be developed with all affected parties.
103	Grosvenor Place		1			Quite content.	Noted.
104	Hayesfield Park	1				Would mean the loss of four spaces in an area where it is hard to find any space, falls in between zones 4 and 5.	Noted, however after assessment it is considered that the restrictions are necessary to ensure access and improve safety.
105	Hayesfield Park		1			Support dyl will improve access to driveways.	Noted.
106	Hayesfield Park		1			Support, would like it extended further up.	Noted. It is considered that at this time the restrictions are the correct balance between the competing demands for road space.
107	Hayesfield Park		1			Support	Noted.
108	Hayesfield Park	1				would mean the loss of precious spaces - problems with zone capacity.	Noted, however after assessment it is considered that the restrictions are necessary to ensure access and improve safety.

109	Junction Road	1				Removes six parking spaces where parking is short. Lines do not connect with current markings leaving spaces opposite each other which creates a choke point which would not improve passage of traffic. Proximity of road to CPZ means commuters use area for free parking. Removing parking from adjacent to the rear access alleyway will make it difficult for those residents when they use contractors who need access for building materials etc., would be better on the other side.	Noted. Proposal has been modified to reduce the impact of the restrictions.
110	Junction Road	1				As a resident not aware of any accidents at that end of the road will put more pressure on the restricted spaces. Will only improve things for drivers who use road as a short cut, that might increase speed and cause danger to the pupils at hayesfield school.	Noted. Proposal has been modified to reduce the impact of the restrictions.
111	Junction Road	1				Concerned it would restrict access to back of property for bulky deliveries, garden rubbish etc.. Would only help those who use it as a rat run to Bear Flat. If lines are to be used please could it be on the opposite side where the access lane would not be hampered. Can't understand the logic of reducing parking, furthermore lots of empty spaces in nearby zones where residents have driveways.	Noted. Proposal has been modified to reduce the impact of the restrictions.

112	Ragland Street			1		Proposed line too long on Ragland Lane, only needs to be length of the current white line. No need for the DYL adjacent to Hyland, if the line on the other side of the road is in place then access should be fine. No need for line from 1 Kingsdown View to 12 Fairfield View. Most of that area rarely parked and gather that the police have never objected to how vehicles park. Thinks a resident meeting would be advisable to discuss the issues.	Noted.
113	Ragland Street			1		Concerned that if lines are painted on Ragland Street it would no longer be seen as private. Parking is a source of conflict in the neighbourhood. Support proposals for lining at the junction as sometimes people park badly and turned into the street can be challenging.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.
114	Ragland Street			1		Feels the proposals are a sledgehammer to crack a nut. To allow clearance a small amount of yellow line on the corners of Raglan Street may be all that is required. The arbitrary line across the frontage of 13 Fairfield Terrace does not make sense as it removes one space, equally the line across Kingsdown View where no one ever parks, a couple of metres on the corners may serve? The line down the raised path along the side of 13 would improve access, the rest of the line is completely pointless.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.

115	Ragland Street	1			Object to all the restrictions. The road has never been blocked to the best of our knowledge, parking can be tight from time to time but this is something we endure in order to live in this community area. The markings will cause ill feelings. If you restrict the parking for 4/5 vehicles at this junction you will simply move the problem to other congested areas. conversely clearing the proposed area will allow passing drives to move through at a faster speed, more likelihood of an accident.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.
116	Ragland Street		1		Offer full support. Illegal parking at this junction is a safety issue and the situation continues to grow. Many do have parking spaces and garages which are cluttered with rubbish they choose not to use.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.
117	Ragland Street		1		Delighted to note the proposed introduction of the scheme as this area is often congested with poorly parked cars. Fear the introduction of the lines will result in cars parking illegally in other locations.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.

118	Ragland Street	1				Request that things are left as they are. Can see no benefit to residents of Ragland Lane only the risk of more congestion in neighbouring roads. Also believe that current parking is not a danger but helps reduce speed of vehicles. Perhaps an argument for one corner to have a restriction to ensure that access to Ragland Street is maintained.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.
119	Ragland Street		1			Support proposal for markings, visibility of traffic moving up or down Ragland Lane is limited. The combination of visibility problems, gradient and limited room can and has caused accidents and damage to cars and could result in an injury.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals and the un-adopted status of Ragland Street. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.
120	St Michaels Road Cemetery			1		Welcome plan, it should extend to cover the existing white line where the road narrows.	Noted. Impact will be monitored for future changes.
121	Third Avenue		1			Welcome additional double yellow lines.	Noted.
122	Lane Behind Crescent Gardens	1				I would consider the proposed changes as unnecessary. The lane has three access and exit points and I have had no issue with parked cars when driving my vehicle in the lane. Providing these places could promote extra traffic and excessive speed.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.

123	Lane Behind Crescent Gardens	1				Putting yellow lines in the lane would only make things worse for those residents who don't have parking spaces and the ones that do will use it as their secondary parking space even with no yellow lines, the fair thing is not to let a couple of people spoil it for all. Perhaps consider reinstating bollards near to Parkside school to stop the 'through traffic'.	Noted. After assessment it is considered that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals. The area will be monitored and reassessed in the future.
124	Warminster Road			1		We do hope that at long-last new restrictions will ease the problem of congestion in Darlington Road and allow parents to 'park and stride'. The old restrictions do not support the School Travel Plan or provide helpful alternatives to parents who have no choice about using a car. The new suggested times do not help, we need parent spaces between 8am and 9.30 am each morning and 2pm to 4pm each afternoon.	Noted. The restrictions are proposed to remove all day commuters in Warminster Road and Beckford Road and to provide some Double Yellow Lines to protect access on Warminster Road. After assessment it is considered that the proposal for Beckford Road does not provide the necessary solution and will be withdrawn.
125	Warminster Road		1			Please do make parking on Warminster Road 1 hour as it would really facilitate pick up.	Noted. The restrictions are proposed to remove all day commuters in Warminster Road and Beckford Road and to provide some Double Yellow Lines to protect access on Warminster Road. After assessment it is considered that the proposal for Beckford Road does not provide the necessary solution and will be withdrawn. The proposals for Warminster Road will be implemented.

126	Warminster Road	1				Object as not easy to park and I can't afford to use car parks. Bus is not an option for me.	Noted. However all day commuter parking in this location is not seen as beneficial to other users and therefore the implementation of a time limit will increase vehicular turnover.
127	Warminster Road	1				Object as this area is essential to her and others as free long term parking. Daily long term parking is too expensive and resident parking has forced them out this far.	Noted. However all day commuter parking in this location is not seen as beneficial to other users and therefore the implementation of a time limit will increase vehicular turnover.
128	Warminster Road			1		Waiting around the school is a problem for those few parents who need to bring their children to school by car. The proposed restrictions on Beckford Road would not make any difference as it is already one hour no return, the 9.30 to 2.30 would still make it difficult for parents to stop for a short time to pick up their children. A better solution would be for a very limited waiting of say 15-20 minutes between 8am and 9.30 each morning and 2.30 to 4 each afternoon.	Noted. The restrictions are proposed to remove all day commuters in Warminster Road and Beckford Road and to provide some Double Yellow Lines to protect access on Warminster Road. After assessment it is considered that the proposal for Beckford Road do not provide the necessary solution and will be withdrawn. The proposals for Warminster Road will be implemented.

129	Warminster Road			1		1 hour no return seems to be a short time to be able to do anything useful. No shops or services near by and a long walk to town, would it not be more helpful to have a 2 hour limit?	Noted. The restrictions are proposed to remove all day commuters in Warminster Road and Beckford Road and to provide some Double Yellow Lines to protect access on Warminster Road. After assessment it is considered that the proposal for Beckford Road do not provide the necessary solution and will be withdrawn. The proposals for Warminster Road will be implemented.
130	Warminster Road			1		The proposed restrictions are not very helpful to parents, preferred solution would be retain the existing restriction on Beckford Road and a new 1 hour restriction on Warminster Road from 8am to 6pm.	Noted. The restrictions are proposed to remove all day commuters in Warminster Road and Beckford Road and to provide some Double Yellow Lines to protect access on Warminster Road. After assessment it is considered that the proposal for Beckford Road do not provide the necessary solution and will be withdrawn. The proposals for Warminster Road will be implemented.
131	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle		1			Write to agree. Concerns about traffic congestion in the street. Cars park both sides of road causing danger. Buses and Lorries hold up traffic as they can't get through.	Noted.

132	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Objects strongly to the lines outside their property. This street cannot afford to lose any more parking spaces due to the high percentage of student multi occupancy housing. This is going to lower our standard of living, this would be very off putting for buyers should we decide to sell.	Noted. Specified restriction removed from proposal.
133	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Support the lining around Triangle North and South Avenue - object to the areas outside properties 98 and 97, 48 and 47. The location of those spaces is unnecessary as there is not need for traffic to pass at those locations. Removing 4-6 spaces will make parking more difficult. Will encourage idling cars outside resident houses thus adding to noise pollution.	Noted. Specified restrictions removed from proposal.
134	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Object to scheme in West Avenue. Unnecessary and it would be unfortunate to lose spaces in the street. Those spaces are in front of houses that belong to families.	Noted. Specified restrictions removed from proposal.
135	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Object to the small restrictions outside 48 and 100 these are superfluous. The rest of the proposal I agree with.	Noted. The junction protection has been assessed and considered necessary for road safety. Other specified restrictions removed from proposal.

136	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Support the lines near the railway bridge. Does not need any on the bend as this will encourage drivers to come round at full speed without thought for traffic coming up towards the bend. Rest of road is safe without proposed lines, especially at the corner of South Avenue. Also the lines proposed outside 47 and 98 are not needed, these will cause confrontation.	Noted. Specified restrictions removed from proposal.
137	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Support all but the lines outside 97 and 98, no concerns there. The others will stop dangerous parking and congestion which has been highlighted.	Noted. Specified restrictions removed from proposal.
138	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Object specifically to lines outside 47 and 48. Limited parking to reduce these spaces will make parking even more difficult. Sufficient pulling in areas already exist. No gain to anyone.	Noted. Specified restrictions removed from proposal.
139	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle		1			Agree in principle with proposals although not clear where lines will actually extend.	Noted.
140	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle			1		Agree with lines but would like it increased to no loading to prevent blue badge holders from causing an obstruction.	Noted.

141	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle		1			It is about time that yellow lines were put down in west avenue at the bottom and around the corner up to the bungalow. A few minor accidents have happened but no fatalities yet. If the road is clear they will be able to go that much faster.	Noted.
142	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle		1			I am fully supportive of the proposed measures. I have always found that the parking that currently takes place makes the tight corners dangerous to negotiate by car and bicycle.	Noted.
143	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle					Support the lines near the railway bridge. Does not need any on the bend as this will encourage drivers to come round at full speed without thought for traffic coming up towards the bend. Rest of road is safe without proposed lines, especially at the co	Noted.
144	West Avenue, South Avenue and Triangle	1				Does not support the scheme as proposed although would strongly support an improved scheme that would address issues of traffic speeds/volumes in order to make this stretch safer. The scheme may not solve problems but make the situation worse. New restrictions will increase speeds and remove the barrier between pedestrians and road traffic that parked cars currently provide. Pavement is narrow and has high levels of pedestrian traffic, particularly around school drop off and pick up times.	Points raised in objection considered fully by Traffic Engineer and Head of Parking. The points raised are valid but on balance it is considered that the proposal around Triangle North/ West Avenue should be implemented.